Docket No.: 09643/000L339-US0

## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the application in light of the amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

## Status of the Claims

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 13, 14, and 25-42 are pending. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14, 25-31, and 41-42 have been amended.

Claims 9, 14, and 41 have been amended to correct minor typographical errors.

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 25-31, and 41-42 have been amended so that they no longer positively recite part of the human body. No new matter has been added.

Claims 1 and 42 have been amended to better set forth what the applicant regards as his invention. No new matter has been added.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 2, 7-9, 13-14, and 25-41 are allowable once the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101 is overcome. Applicants have amended the claims and submit that the claims are in condition for allowance.

Amendment dated January 9, 2006 After Final Office Action of November 15, 2005

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1 and 42 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent

Number 3,756,247 to Hand ("Hand").

The Examiner indicates that the claim elements "the apex of the bulge," in claim 1, and "the

highest point of the bulge," in claim 42, do not patentably differentiate from "the uppermost portion

of the bulge of buttock, which is the region covered by the Hand garment." (Detailed Action, page

5, item 7.) In supporting this interpretation of the claim language, the Examiner cites the Merriam-

Webster OnLine Dictionary as defining "apex" as "the uppermost point."

Applicants submit that because the claimed invention is described in reference to the

position it is adapted to assume when worn on the human body, it is more appropriate to refer to a

medical dictionary when interpreting the recitation of anatomical structures or positions. According

to Steadman's Medical Dictionary, "apex" is defined as "[t]he extremity of a conical or pyramidal

structure, such as the heart or the lung." See Exhibit A; STEADMAN'S MEDICAL DICTIONARY, 112

(26th ed. 1995). Furthermore, Applicants note that the dictionary cited by the Examiner further

defines apex as the "vertex," or "the narrowed or pointed end." Applicants respectfully disagree

with the Examiner's interpretation of the phrases "the apex of the bulge" and "the highest point of

the bulge," as including "the uppermost potion of the bulge of buttock." The uppermost portion of

the bulge does not form the extremity of a conical or pyramidal structure. The "vertex" of the bulge

of the buttock is not at the "uppermost point."

However, in the interest of furthering prosecution, Applicants have amended claim 1 to

recite, "the furthest dorsal point of the bulge," and claim 42 to recite "the furthest posterior point of

the bulge." The buttock can be described as a conical or pyramidal structure, and thus, the "apex"

of the bulge of the buttock can be located at "the furthest dorsal point of the bulge" or "the furthest

posterior point of the bulge." Therefore, the present amendments to claims 1 and 42 do not alter the

scope of the claim, as Applicants properly used the term apex, using its anatomical definition.

14

Application No. 10/088,719 Docket No.: 09643/000L339-US0 Amendment dated January 9, 2006

After Final Office Action of November 15, 2005

Moreover, Hand does not disclose a garment "adapted to cover a region extending from said

position through the furthest dorsal point of bulge of each buttock," as recited in claim 1. Nor does

Hand disclose a garment adapted to "extend over the furthest posterior point of the bulge of each

buttock," as recited in claim 42. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that Hand does not disclose

each and every element of claims 1 and 42, and therefore reconsideration and withdrawal of the

rejection is requested.

CONCLUSION

Each and every point raised in the Office Action dated November 15, 2005 has been

addressed on the basis of the above amendments and remarks. In view of the foregoing it is

believed that claims 1, 2, 7-9, 13, 14, and 25-42 are in condition for allowance and it is respectfully

requested that the application be reconsidered and that all pending claims be allowed and the case

passed to issue.

If there are any other issues remaining which the Examiner believes could be resolved

through a Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is respectfully

requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Dated: January 9, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Louis J. DelJuidice

Registration No.: 47,522

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant